# Cobordism independence of Grassmann manifolds

#### ASHISH KUMAR DAS

Department of Mathematics, North-Eastern Hill University, Permanent Campus, Shillong 793 022, India E-mail: akdas@nehu.ac.in

MS received 11 April 2003; revised 9 October 2003

**Abstract.** This note proves that, for  $F = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{H}$ , the bordism classes of all non-bounding Grassmannian manifolds  $G_k(F^{n+k})$ , with k < n and having real dimension d, constitute a linearly independent set in the unoriented bordism group  $\mathfrak{N}_d$  regarded as a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -vector space.

Keywords. Grassmannians; bordism; Stiefel-Whitney class.

#### 1. Introduction

This paper is a continuation of the ongoing study of cobordism of Grassmann manifolds. Let F denote one of the division rings  $\mathbb R$  of reals,  $\mathbb C$  of complex numbers, or  $\mathbb H$  of quaternions. Let  $t=\dim_{\mathbb R} F$ . Then the Grassmannian manifold  $G_k(F^{n+k})$  is defined to be the set of all k-dimensional (left) subspaces of  $F^{n+k}$ .  $G_k(F^{n+k})$  is a closed manifold of real dimension nkt. Using the orthogonal complement of a subspace one identifies  $G_k(F^{n+k})$  with  $G_n(F^{n+k})$ .

In [8], Sankaran has proved that, for  $F = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{H}$ , the Grassmannian manifold  $G_k(F^{n+k})$  bounds if and only if v(n+k) > v(k), where, given a positive integer m, v(m) denotes the largest integer such that  $2^{v(m)}$  divides m.

Given a positive integer d, let  $\mathscr{G}(d)$  denote the set of bordism classes of all non-bounding Grassmannian manifolds  $G_k(F^{n+k})$  having real dimension d such that k < n. The restriction k < n is imposed because  $G_k(F^{n+k}) \approx G_n(F^{n+k})$  and, for k = n,  $G_k(F^{n+k})$  bounds. Thus,  $\mathscr{G}(d) = \{[G_k(F^{n+k})] \in \mathfrak{N}_* \mid nkt = d, k < n, \text{ and } v(n+k) \leq v(k)\} \subset \mathfrak{N}_d$ .

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following:

**Theorem 1.1.**  $\mathscr{G}(d)$  is a linearly independent set in the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -vector space  $\mathfrak{N}_d$ .

Similar results for Dold and Milnor manifolds can be found in [6] and [1] respectively.

### 2. The real Grassmannians — a Brief review

The real Grassmannian manifold  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$  is an nk-dimensional closed manifold of k-planes in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ . It is well-known (see [3]) that the mod-2 cohomology of  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$  is given by

$$H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k, \bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2, \dots, \bar{w}_n]/\{w.\bar{w} = 1\},$$

where  $w = 1 + w_1 + w_2 + \cdots + w_k$  and  $\bar{w} = 1 + \bar{w}_1 + \bar{w}_2 + \cdots + \bar{w}_n$  are the total Stiefel–Whitney classes of the universal k-plane bundle  $\gamma_k$  and the corresponding complementary bundle  $\gamma_k^{\perp}$ , both over  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$ , respectively.

For computational convenience in this cohomology one uses the flag manifold  $\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$  consisting of all ordered (n+k)-tuples  $(V_1,V_2,\ldots,V_{n+k})$  of mutually orthogonal one-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+k}$  with respect to the 'standard' inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ . It is standard (see [4]) that the mod-2 cohomology of  $\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$  is given by

$$H^*(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n+k}] \bigg/ \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n+k} (1+e_i) = 1 \right\},$$

where  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{n+k}$  are one-dimensional classes. In fact each  $e_i$  is the first Stiefel–Whitney class of the line bundle  $\lambda_i$  over  $\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$  whose total space consists of pairs, a flag  $(V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_{n+k})$  and a vector in  $V_i$ .

There is a map  $\pi_{n+k}$ : Flag( $\mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ )  $\longrightarrow G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$  which assigns to  $(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n+k})$ , the k-dimensional subspace  $V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_k$ . In the cohomology,  $\pi_{n+k}^* : H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}); \mathbb{Z}_2) \longrightarrow H^*(\text{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is injective and is described by

$$\pi_{n+k}^*(w) = \prod_{i=1}^k (1+e_i), \quad \pi_{n+k}^*(\bar{w}) = \prod_{i=k+1}^{n+k} (1+e_i).$$

In [9], Stong has observed, among others, the following facts:

Fact 2.1. The value of the class  $u \in H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  on the fundamental class of  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$  is the same as the value of

$$\pi_{n+k}^*(u)e_1^{k-1}e_2^{k-2}\dots e_{k-1}e_{k+1}^{n-1}e_{k+2}^{n-2}\dots e_{n+k-1}$$

on the fundamental class of Flag( $\mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ ).

Fact 2.2. In  $H^*(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  one has

$$e_{i_1}^{n+k-(r-1)}e_{i_2}^{n+k-(r-1)}\dots e_{i_{r-1}}^{n+k-2}e_{i_r}^{n+k-1} = 0$$

if  $1 \le r \le n+k$  and the set  $\{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r\} \subset \{1,2,\ldots,n+k\}$ . In particular  $e_i^{n+k}=0$  for each i,  $1 \le i \le n+k$ .

Fact 2.3. In the top dimensional cohomology of  $\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$ , a monomial  $e_1^{i_1}e_2^{i_2}\dots e_{n+k}^{i_{n+k}}$  represents the non-zero class if and only if the set  $\{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_{n+k}\}=\{0,1,\dots,n+k-1\}$ .

The tangent bundle  $\tau$  over  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$  is given (see [5]) by

$$\tau \oplus \gamma_k \otimes \gamma_k \cong (n+k)\gamma_k$$
.

In particular, the total Stifel–Whitney class  $W(G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}))$  of the tangent bundle over  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$  maps under  $\pi_{n+k}^*$  to

$$\prod_{1 \le i \le k} (1 + e_i)^{n+k} \cdot \prod_{1 \le i < j \le k} (1 + e_i + e_j)^{-2}.$$

Choosing a positive integer  $\alpha$  such that  $2^{\alpha} \ge n + k$ , we have, using Fact 2.2,

$$\pi_{n+k}^*(W(G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}))) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} (1+e_i)^{n+k} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (1+e_i+e_j)^{2^{\alpha}-2}.$$
 Thus, the  $m$ th Stiefel-Whitney class  $W_m = W_m(G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}))$  maps under  $\pi_{n+k}^*$  to the  $m$ th standard formula  $M_m$  to the  $M_m$ th standard formula  $M_m$ th standard for  $M_m$ th standard formula  $M_m$ th standard form

Thus, the mth Stiefel–Whitney class  $W_m = W_m(G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}))$  maps under  $\pi_{n+k}^*$  to the mth elementary symmetric polynomial in  $e_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le k$ , each with multiplicity n+k, and  $e_i+e_j$ ,  $1 \le i < j \le k$ , each with multiplicity  $2^{\alpha} - 2$ . Therefore, if  $S_p(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_p)$  denotes the expression of the power sum  $\sum_{m=1}^q y_m^p$  as a polynomial in elementary symmetric polynomials  $\sigma_m$ 's in q 'unknowns'  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_q, q \ge p$ , we have (see [8])

$$S_p(\pi_{n+k}^*(W_1), \pi_{n+k}^*(W_2), \dots, \pi_{n+k}^*(W_p)) = \sum_{1 \le i \le k} (n+k)e_i^p.$$

Thus we have a polynomial

$$S_p(G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})) = S_p(W_1, W_2, \dots, W_p) \in H^p(G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}); \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

of Stiefel–Whitney classes of  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$  such that

$$\pi_{n+k}^*(S_p(G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}))) = \begin{cases} \sum\limits_{1 \le i \le k} e_i^p, & \text{if } n+k \text{ is odd and } p < n+k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (2.4)

# 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

It is shown in [2] that

$$[G_{2k}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2k})] = [G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})]^4$$
 in  $\mathfrak{N}_{4nk}$ .

From this, we have, in particular,

$$[G_k(F^{n+k})] = [G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})]^t$$
 in  $\mathfrak{N}_{nkt}$ .

For this one has to simply observe that the mod-2 cohomology of the  $\mathbb{F}$ -Grassmannian is isomorphic as ring to that of the corresponding real Grassmannian by an obvious isomorphism that multiplies the degree by t. On the other hand, since  $\mathfrak{N}_*$  is a polynomial ring over the field  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , we have the following:

*Remark* 3.1. A set  $\{[M_1], [M_2], \dots, [M_m]\}$  is linearly independent in  $\mathfrak{N}_d$  if and only if the set  $\{[M_1]^{2^{\beta}}, [M_2]^{2^{\beta}}, \dots, [M_m]^{2^{\beta}}\}$  is linearly independent in  $\mathfrak{N}_{d,2^{\beta}}, \beta \geq 0$ .

Therefore, noting that t = 1, 2, or 4, it is enough to prove Theorem 1.1 for real Grassmannians only. Thus, from now onwards, we shall take

$$\mathscr{G}(d) = \{ [G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})] \mid nk = d, \ k < n, \text{ and } \nu(n+k) \le \nu(k) \}.$$

If  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$  is an odd-dimensional real Grassmannian manifold then both n and k must be odd, and so v(n+k) > v(k). This means that  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$  bounds and so it follows that  $\mathscr{G}(d) = \emptyset$  if d is odd. Therefore we assume that d is even.

Lemma 3.2. In  $H^*(\operatorname{Flag}(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  one has, for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ ,

$$\begin{split} &\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} e_i^{n+k-(2j-1)}\right) \cdot e_1^{k-1} e_2^{k-2} \dots e_{k-j}^{j} \cdot e_{k-(j-1)}^{j-1} \cdot e_{k-(j-2)}^{n+k-(j-1)} \dots e_k^{n+k-1} \\ &= e_1^{k-1} e_2^{k-2} \dots e_{k-j}^{j} \cdot e_{k-(j-1)}^{n+k-j} \cdot e_{k-(j-2)}^{n+k-(j-1)} \dots e_k^{n+k-1}. \end{split}$$

Proof. Note that

(a) if  $i \neq k - (j-1)$  then the exponent of  $e_i$  in the product

$$e_1^{k-1}e_2^{k-2}\dots e_{k-j}^{j}\cdot e_{k-(j-1)}^{j-1}\cdot e_{k-(j-2)}^{n+k-(j-1)}\dots e_{k}^{n+k-1}$$

is greater than or equal to j, and

(b) 
$$\{n+k-(2j-1)\}+j=n+k-(j-1).$$

Therefore, invoking Fact 2.2, the lemma follows.

# PROPOSITION 3.3.

Let  $\mathcal{O}(d) = \{ [G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})] \in \mathcal{G}(d) \mid n+k \text{ is odd } \}$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  is linearly independent in  $\mathfrak{N}_d$ .

*Proof.* Arrange the members of  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  in descending order of the values of n+k, so that

$$\mathscr{O}(d) = \{ [G_{k_1}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+k_1})], [G_{k_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_2+k_2})], \dots, [G_{k_s}(\mathbb{R}^{n_s+k_s})] \},$$

where  $n_1 + k_1 > n_2 + k_2 > \cdots > n_s + k_s$ . Note that  $n_1 = d$  and  $k_1 = 1$ .

For a *d*-dimensional Grassmannian manifold  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$ , consider the polynomials

$$f_\ell(G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k_\ell \\ 0 \leqslant k \in \mathbb{R}^{n+k}}} S_{n_\ell+k_\ell-(2j-1)}(G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})) \in H^d(G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k});\mathbb{Z}_2)$$
 of Stiefel–Whitney classes of  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$ , where  $1 \leq \ell \leq s$ . Then, for each  $\ell, 1 \leq \ell \leq s$ , we

of Stiefel–Whitney classes of  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$ , where  $1 \le \ell \le s$ . Then, for each  $\ell$ ,  $1 \le \ell \le s$ , we have, using (2.4),

$$\begin{split} & \pi_{n_\ell+k_\ell}^*(f_\ell(G_{k_\ell}(\mathbb{R}^{n_\ell+k_\ell})))e_1^{k_\ell-1}e_2^{k_\ell-2}\dots e_{k_\ell-1}e_{k_\ell+1}^{n_\ell-1}e_{k_\ell+2}^{n_\ell-2}\dots e_{n_\ell+k_\ell-1} \\ & = \left(\prod_{1\leq j\leq k_\ell}\left(\sum_{1\leq i\leq k_\ell}e_i^{n_\ell+k_\ell-(2j-1)}\right)\right)e_1^{k_\ell-1}e_2^{k_\ell-2}\dots e_{k_\ell-1}e_{k_\ell+1}^{n_\ell-1}e_{k_\ell+2}^{n_\ell-2} \\ & \dots e_{n_\ell+k_\ell-1} \\ & = e_1^{n_\ell}e_2^{n_\ell+1}\dots e_{k_\ell}^{n_\ell+k_\ell-1}e_{k_\ell+1}^{n_\ell-1}e_{k_\ell+2}^{n_\ell-2}\dots e_{n_\ell+k_\ell-1}, \end{split}$$

applying Lemma 3.2 repeatedly for successive values of j.

Thus, in view of Facts 2.1 and 2.3, the Stiefel-Whitney number

$$\langle f_{\ell}(G_{k_{\ell}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_{\ell}+k_{\ell}})), [G_{k_{\ell}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_{\ell}+k_{\ell}})] \rangle \neq 0$$

for each  $\ell$ ,  $1 \le \ell \le s$ . On the other hand, using (2.4), it is clear that

$$\langle f_{\ell}(G_{k_h}(\mathbb{R}^{n_h+k_h})), [G_{k_h}(\mathbb{R}^{n_h+k_h})] \rangle = 0$$

for each  $h > \ell$ , since  $n_{\ell} + k_{\ell} - 1 \ge n_h + k_h$ . Therefore, it follows that the  $s \times s$  matrix

$$[\langle f_{\ell}(G_{k_h}(\mathbb{R}^{n_h+k_h})), [G_{k_h}(\mathbb{R}^{n_h+k_h})] \rangle]_{1 \leq \ell \leq s, 1 \leq h \leq s}$$

is non-singular; being lower triangular with 1's in the diagonal. This completes the proof.

Now we shall complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 using induction on d. First note that

$$\mathcal{G}(2) = \{ [G_1(\mathbb{R}^{2+1})] \} = \{ [\mathbb{R}P^2] \},$$

$$\mathscr{G}(4) = \{ [G_1(\mathbb{R}^{4+1})] \} = \{ [\mathbb{R}P^4] \},$$

and so both are linearly independent in  $\mathfrak{N}_2$ ,  $\mathfrak{N}_4$  respectively. Assume that the theorem holds for all dimensions less than d.

We have  $\mathcal{G}(d) = \mathcal{E}(d) \bigcup \mathcal{O}(d)$ , where

$$\mathscr{E}(d) = \{ [G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})] \in \mathscr{G}(d) | n+k \text{ is even} \}$$

and

$$\mathscr{O}(d) = \{ [G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})] \in \mathscr{G}(d) | n+k \text{ is odd} \}.$$

Observe that if  $[G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})] \in \mathscr{E}(d)$  then both n and k are even with  $v(k) \neq v(n)$ . On the other hand,  $[G_2(\mathbb{R}^{\frac{d}{2}+2})] \in \mathscr{E}(d)$  if  $d \equiv 0 \pmod 8$ . Thus,  $\mathscr{E}(d) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $d \equiv 0 \pmod 8$ .

In view of Proposition 3.3, we may assume without any loss that  $\mathscr{E}(d) \neq \emptyset$ . Then, by the above observation and by Theorem 2.2 of [8] every member of  $\mathscr{E}(d)$  is of the form  $[G_{\frac{k}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{k}{2}})]^4$ , where  $[G_{\frac{k}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{k}{2}})] \in \mathscr{G}(\frac{d}{4})$ . By induction hypothesis,  $\mathscr{G}(\frac{d}{4})$  is linearly independent in  $\mathfrak{N}_{\underline{d}}$ .

So, by Remark 3.1,

$$\mathscr{E}(d)$$
 is linearly independent in  $\mathfrak{N}_d$ . (3.4)

Again note that if  $[G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})] \in \mathscr{E}(d)$ , then, by (2.4), the polynomial  $S_p(G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})) = 0$ ,  $\forall p \geq 1$ . So, for each of the polynomials  $f_\ell$ ,  $1 \leq \ell \leq s$ , considered in Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\langle f_{\ell}(G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})), [G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})] \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore, writing

$$\mathscr{E}(d) = \{ [G_{k_{s+1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_{s+1}+k_{s+1}})], [G_{k_{s+2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_{s+2}+k_{s+2}})], \dots, [G_{k_{s+q}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_{s+q}+k_{s+q}})] \},$$

where  $n_{s+1} + k_{s+1} > n_{s+2} + k_{s+2} > \cdots > n_{s+q} + k_{s+q}$ , we see that the  $s \times (s+q)$  matrix

$$[\langle f_{\ell}(G_{k_h}(\mathbb{R}^{n_h+k_h})), [G_{k_h}(\mathbb{R}^{n_h+k_h})] \rangle]_{1 < \ell < s, \ 1 < h < s+q}$$

is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \star & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \star & \star & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ - & - & - & \cdots & - & - & - & - & \cdots & - \\ - & - & - & \cdots & - & - & - & - & \cdots & - \\ \star & \star & \star & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.5}$$

Thus, no non-trivial linear combination of members of  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  can be expressed as a linear combination of the members of  $\mathcal{E}(d)$ . This, together with (3.4) and Proposition 3.3, proves that the set  $\mathcal{G}(d) = \mathcal{E}(d) \bigcup \mathcal{O}(d)$  is linearly independent in  $\mathfrak{N}_d$ . Hence, by induction, Theorem 1.1 is completely proved.

Remark 3.6. Using the decomposition of the members of  $\mathscr{E}(d)$ , and the polynomials  $f_{\ell}$ , in the lower dimensions together with the *doubling homomorphism* defined by Milnor [7], one can obtain a set of polynomials of Stiefel–Whitney classes which yield, as in Proposition 3.3, a lower triangular matrix for  $\mathscr{E}(d)$  with 1's in the diagonal. Thus using (3.5) we have a lower triangular matrix, with 1's in the diagonal, for the whole set  $\mathscr{G}(d)$ .

# Acknowledgement

Part of this work was done under a DST project

#### References

- [1] Dutta S and Khare S S, Independence of bordism classes of Milnor manifolds, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **68(1–4)** (2001) 1–16
- [2] Floyd E E, Steifel-Whitney numbers of quaternionic and related manifolds, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 155 (1971) 77–94
- [3] Hiller H L, On the cohomology of real Grassmannians, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 257 (1980) 521–533
- [4] Hirzebruch F, Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry (New York: Springer-Verlag) (1966)
- [5] Hsiang W-C and Szczarba R H, On the tangent bundle for the Grassmann manifold, Am. J. Math. 86 (1964) 698–704
- [6] Khare S S, On Dold manifolds, *Topology Appl.* 33 (1989) 297–307
- [7] Milnor J W, On the Stiefel–Whitney numbers of complex manifolds and of spin manifolds, *Topology* **3** (1965) 223–230
- [8] Sankaran P, Determination of Grassmann manifolds which are boundaries, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **34** (1991) 119–122
- [9] Stong R E, Cup products in Grassmannians, Topology Appl. 13 (1982) 103–113